

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2008-09-29
Date of Last Change to Activities:
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-28
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-06-28
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-07-23
Date of Last Revision: 2012-06-28

Agency: 009 - Department of Health and Human Services **Bureau:** 00 - Agency-Wide Activity

Investment Part Code: 04

Investment Category: 24 - E-Gov & LoB initiatives

1. Name of this Investment: Grants.gov - Find and Apply

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 009-999990160

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

Grants.gov provides a single website to find and apply for federal discretionary grants. Previously, grant processes varied widely across agencies and programs, resulting in a complicated, burdensome, and costly methodology to find grants. Grants.gov, mandated by the 2002 President's Management Agenda and Public Law 106-107, has transformed the federal grants environment by streamlining and standardizing public-facing grant processes, simplifying and improving the process for both grantees and grantors, and eliminating redundancies. Grants.gov provides over one million organizations, a single web site where they can find and apply for over \$153 billion worth of grants distributed annually. Grants.gov empowers smaller agencies with limited resources to improve the reach of their grant programs, and provides larger agencies with the benefit of process standardization, cost savings, and increased visibility. The program is funded by the 26 Federal grant-making agencies, each providing support commensurate with its size according to a formula approved by the Grants Executive Board (GEB). In 2010, Grants.gov focused on continuing operations with limited funding and increased usage. These improvements included a major system hardware upgrade, new reports, improved login security controls and audits, and performance improvements. In 2011 and 2012, Grants.gov will continue to focus on operations and maintenance, including implementing a disaster recovery capability in keeping with the increased system criticality. Many important enhancements desired by users such as

collaborative grant applications are pending approval by the GEB. Improvements to infrastructure software, submission validation, reports, and submission status tracking will be made as budgets allow. Grants.gov is currently engaged in conducting an analysis of how to incorporate new technologies to continue providing the same level of service at a reduced cost. Moving to the Cloud would relieve Grants.gov of the costs inherent in maintaining a system, including equipment maintenance, upkeep, and refresh. The analysis has identified several options within the Cloud Computing environment including repositioning the system partially within the Cloud, while maintaining some of the current environment. Since the initial transfer and related costs are presently unknown, Grants.gov intends to update the information in the Summary of Spending Table for fiscal year 2016 at that time.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

HHS carries out large portions of its mission through grants. Grants.gov improves the efficiency and effectiveness of the grants process for 26 federal agencies including HHS and its components. Efficiency is improved by providing a single, simple, Web-based mechanism for agencies to post grant opportunities, and by providing common forms and a common system for receiving grant applications electronically. Effectiveness is improved by providing a single web site where the grants community can find and apply for grant opportunities, helping to ensure broad visibility of grant opportunities and participation from the most qualified and deserving grant applicants. Lack of full funding for the investment will eliminate functional and operational improvements, which are needed to provide the stated benefits to additional grants programs, further improve the efficiency of the grant application process, and reduce long-term operations costs. Significant reductions in funding would impact the ability to operate the system and provide existing services to 26 grant-making agencies and more than 100,000 grant applicants.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

During FY11, Grants.gov provided ongoing operations that serviced more than 11 million Website visitors per month searching for grant opportunities and processed more than 200,000 application submissions. In addition, Grants.gov made numerous improvements during FY11 through a controlled process of periodic releases. Roughly 40 improvements were made in the areas of reliability and operability (CCR import, workflow, virus scanning, proprietary software removal, database optimization, etc.), security (password protection, account expiration, vulnerability patches, session timeouts, etc.), infrastructure (Oracle upgrade, search appliance update), usability (rejection comments), and functionality (bug fixes). Grants.gov had requested from the Grants Executive Board to conduct an analysis of the feasibility of moving the system to the cloud; however the funding request was not approved.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

Accomplishments planned for FY12 (subject to funding) include sustaining operations to

service increased usage, plus a variety of application, forms, and application platform improvements to provide important features needed by users, better operability, and lower lifecycle costs. Application improvements include complex (multi-organization) grant application support, improved opportunity searching, improved reporting, opportunity notification controls, website improvements, implementation of a web service for grant opportunity management, and various bug fixes. Forms improvements include improving usability/accessibility of the form coversheet and workflow, easier data entry, form standardization, and pure HTML forms. Application platform improvements include implementing a disaster recovery capability, making the system cloud-ready, reducing licensed product costs, and implementing a flexible operational reporting capability.

5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2008-01-09

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$9.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$9.6	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$31.4	\$11.3	\$11.3	\$14.1
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$2.8	\$1.7	\$1.7	\$1.8
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$34.2	\$13.0	\$13.0	\$15.9
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$43.8	\$13.0	\$13.0	\$15.9
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$2.8	\$1.7	\$1.7	\$1.8
# of FTE rep by costs:	27	10	10	10
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.0	\$0.0	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		0.00%	0.00%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

The Summary of Funding has been changed primarily to remove the assumption of future development or increase in scope for Grants.gov. Should such changes be approved by the Grants Executive Board in the future, they will be subsequently reflected in Grants.gov budget submissions.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded		INN06PD10315	26301D0074	7555							
Awarded		30106EASAM	GS10F0227L	4730							
Awarded		INN08PX10028									
Awarded		INN06PD10300	GS23F0076J	4730							
Awarded		HHSP233200900124G	GS00V08PDD0066	4705							
Awarded		HHSP350200900128C									

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Grants.gov contracts comply with OMB and HHS policies for use of EVM. Not all Grants.gov contracts require use of EVM, based on the use of firm fixed price, size of contract, etc. As a steady state investment, the use of EVM is further limited.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NONE					

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NONE								

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
# of grant applications received electronically	#	Mission and Business Results - Management of Government Resources	Over target	796.000000	200000.000000	269329.000000	221706.000000	Quarterly
Overall customer satisfaction with the Grants.gov site	%	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	57.000000	60.000000	59.000000	60.000000	Quarterly
Average time to validate and process a grants application (submission)	minutes	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Under target	20.000000	20.000000	18.300000	20.000000	Quarterly
% System availability 18x7 excluding published maintenance periods	%	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	99.000000	99.000000	99.000000	99.000000	Monthly
% of Tier II tickets closed within 24 hours	%	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Over target	95.000000	95.000000	0.000000	95.000000	Quarterly